

Math 566 - Homework 2

SOLUTIONS

Prof Arturo Magidin

1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, and let $(R^{\text{op}}, +, \circ)$ be the opposite ring, as in Homework 1, Problem 1. Let I be a subset of R . Show that I is a left (resp. right) ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$ if and only if I is a right (resp. left) ideal of $(R^{\text{op}}, +, \circ)$

Proof. Note that I is a subgroup of $(R, +)$ if and only if it is a subgroup of $(R^{\text{op}}, +)$. So we may restrict our attention to subsets that are subgroups of R .

Assume that I is a left ideal of R . It is a subgroup of R^{op} because the additive structure has not changed. Now if $x \in I$ and $r \in R$, then $x \circ r = rx \in I$, because I is a left ideal of R . Therefore, I is a right ideal of R^{op} . Conversely, if I is a right ideal of R^{op} , $x \in I$, and $r \in R$, then $rx = x \circ r \in I$ because I is a right ideal of R^{op} , so I is a left ideal of R .

Since $(R^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = R$, the statement about right ideals of R now follows. \square

2. Let R be a ring, and let X be a set. Let R^X be the set of all functions $f: X \rightarrow R$. Define addition and multiplication in R^X by

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad (fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$$

where the operations on the right hand side are the operations of R .

- (i) Prove that R^X with these operations is a ring.

Proof. The ring R has underlying set that can be thought of as the product $\prod_{x \in X} R$, which is the set of all functions from X to R . This is an abelian group under coordinate-wise addition, which corresponds to pointwise addition; and is a semigroup under coordinatewise multiplication, which corresponds to pointwise multiplication. So the only thing that we need to check is that the product distributes over the sum.

Indeed, given $f, g, h \in R^X$, and $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f(g + h))(x) &= f(x)(g + h)(x) = f(x)(g(x) + h(x)) = f(x)g(x) + f(x)h(x) \\ &= (fg)(x) + (fh)(x) = (fg + fh)(x), \\ ((g + h)f)(x) &= (g + h)(x)f(x) = (g(x) + h(x))f(x) = g(x)f(x) + h(x)f(x) \\ &= (gf)(x) + (hf)(x) = (gf + hf)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a ring. \square

$$(a_x)((b_x) + (c_x)) = (a_x)(b_x + c_x) = (a_x(b_x + c_x)) = (a_x b_x + a_x c_x) = (a_x)(b_x) + (a_x)(c_x),$$

and similarly for $(a_x + b_x)(c_x) = (a_x)(c_x) + (b_x)(c_x)$. \square

- (ii) Prove that R^X is commutative if and only if R is commutative or X is empty.

Proof. If X is empty, then $R^X = \{\emptyset\}$ is the one element ring, which is commutative. If $X \neq \emptyset$ and R is commutative, then given $f, g \in R^X$ we have that for all $x \in X$,

$$(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x) = g(x)f(x) = (gf)(x),$$

and therefore that $fg = gf$. Thus, R^X is commutative.

Conversely, if X is nonempty and R^X is commutative, let $a, b \in R$. The constant functions $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}: X \rightarrow R$ given by $\mathbf{a}(x) = a$ and $\mathbf{b}(x) = b$ lie in R^X . Let $x \in X$; then

$$ab = \mathbf{a}(x)\mathbf{b}(x) = (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})(x) = (\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a})(x) = \mathbf{b}(x)\mathbf{a}(x) = ba,$$

so $ab = ba$ and hence R is commutative. \square

(iii) Prove that R^X has a unity if and only if R has a unity or X is empty.

Proof. If X is empty then R^X is the one element ring, which has unity equal to the additive identity. So assume $X \neq \emptyset$.

If R has a unity, let $\mathbf{1} \in R^X$ be the constant function $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1_R$. Then for all $f \in R^X$ and all $x \in X$, we have

$$f(x) = f(x)1_R = f(x)\mathbf{1}(x) = (f\mathbf{1})(x),$$

so $f = f\mathbf{1}$; symmetrically,

$$f(x) = 1_R f(x) = \mathbf{1}(x)f(x) = (\mathbf{1}f)(x),$$

so $f = f\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}f$, proving that $\mathbf{1}$ is the unity of R^X .

Conversely, let $\mathbf{1}$ be the unity of R^X , and let $x \in X$. I claim that $\mathbf{1}(x) \in R$ is the unity of R . Indeed, let $a \in R$ and let \mathbf{a} be the constant function with value a . Then

$$a = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}(x) = \mathbf{a}(x)\mathbf{1}(x) = a\mathbf{1}(x),$$

and

$$a = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{1}\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{1}(x)\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{1}(x)a,$$

so $\mathbf{1}(x)$ is the unity of R , as claimed. \square

3. Let R and S be rings with unity, and let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism; recall that we do not require ring homomorphisms to be unital unless we specify that they are.

(i) Show that if $1_S \in \text{Im}(f)$, then $f(1_R) = 1_S$.

Proof. Let $r \in R$ be such that $f(r) = 1_S$. Then

$$1_S = f(r) = f(r1_R) = f(r)f(1_R) = 1_S f(1_R) = f(1_R). \quad \square$$

(ii) Prove that if there exists $u \in R$ such that $f(u)$ is a unit in S , then $f(1_R) = 1_S$.

Proof. Let $v \in S$ be such that $vf(u) = f(u)v = 1_S$. If $s \in S$, then

$$f(u)1_S = f(u) = f(u1_R) = f(u)f(1_R).$$

Now multiplying on the left by v we have $vf(u)1_S = vf(u)f(1_R)$. Since $vf(u) = 1_S$, we deduce $1_S = f(1_R)$, as claimed. \square

4. Let p be a prime number.

(i) Prove that if $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, then $\binom{p}{k}$ is a multiple of p .

Proof. Note that $\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}$. Since $k \leq p-1$, all factors of $k!$ are strictly smaller than p ; and since $k \geq 1$, all factors of $(p-k)!$ are strictly smaller than p . Thus, the factor of p in the numerator does not cancel, so $\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!} = p \left(\frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-k)!} \right)$, with both factors integers. Thus, $\binom{p}{k}$ is divisible by p . \square

(ii) THE FRESHMAN'S DREAM. Let R be a commutative ring with identity such that we have $\text{char}(R) = p$. Prove that for all $a, b \in R$ and positive integers n , $(a+b)^{p^n} = a^{p^n} + b^{p^n}$.

Proof. Induction on n . If $n = 1$, we have

$$(a+b)^p = a^p + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} a^k b^{p-k} + b^p.$$

Since $\text{char}(R) = p$, $px = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Therefore $\binom{p}{k}a^k b^{p-k} = 0$ if $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, and we get $(a+b)^p = a^p + b^p$, as claimed.

Assuming the result holds for n , we have

$$(a+b)^{p^{n+1}} = \left((a+b)^{p^n}\right)^p = (a^{p^n} + b^{p^n})^p = (a^{p^n})^p + (b^{p^n})^p = a^{p^{n+1}} + b^{p^{n+1}},$$

as claimed. \square

5. Let R be a ring. An element $r \in R$ is *nilpotent* if and only if there exists a positive integer n such that $r^n = 0$.

(i) Show that if R is commutative, then the set of all nilpotent elements of R is an ideal of R .

Proof. Let N be the set of all nilpotent elements. It is nonempty, since $0 \in N$. If $a, b \in N$, let $n > 0$ be such that $a^n = 0$, and let $m > 0$ be such that $b^m = 0$. Then

$$(a-b)^{n+m} = a^{n+m} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-1} \binom{n+m}{k} (-1)^k a^{n+m-k} b^k + (-1)^{n+m} b^{n+m}.$$

The first and last term are equal to 0, because the exponents of a and b are larger than n and m , respectively. If $1 \leq k \leq m$, then $a^{n+m-k} = 0$; if $m < k \leq n+m$, then $b^k = 0$. Thus, each term in the sum is equal to 0 as well. So $(a-b)^{n+m} = 0$, proving that N is a subgroup of R .

Finally, if $a \in N$ and $r \in R$, let $n > 0$ be such that $a^n = 0$. Then

$$(ra)^n = r^n a^n = r^n 0 = 0.$$

Thus, N is an ideal, as claimed. (We only need to check one side because R is commutative.) \square

(ii) Give an example of a ring R and elements a and b of R such that each of a and b are nilpotent, but neither ab nor $a+b$ are nilpotent. HINT: Try 2×2 matrices.

Proof. Of course there are many possible examples. Here is one. Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the ring of 2×2 matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{R} , and let

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $a^2 = b^2 = 0$. However, we have that

$$a+b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad ab = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $a+b$ is invertible, hence cannot be nilpotent (in fact, $(a+b)^2 = I_2$). As for ab , $ab \neq 0$, but $(ab)^2 = ab$, so ab cannot be nilpotent either. Thus, the set of nilpotent matrices in R is not an ideal, subring, or even a subgroup. \square

6. Given a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the *support* of f is the set

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(r) \neq 0\}.$$

We say f has *compact support* if and only if there exists $N > 0$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [-N, N]$.

Let R be the ring of all functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with pointwise addition and multiplication.

- (i) Let S be the set of all elements of R that are continuous and have compact support. Prove that S is a subring of R .

Proof. Note that S is nonempty, since the function $f(x) = 0$ for all x lies in S .

We know that the sum, difference, and product of continuous functions is continuous. We just need to verify that the property of having compact support is also respected. Let f and g be continuous with compact support. Let $N, M > 0$ be such that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [-N, N]$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq [-M, M]$. Let $K = \max\{N, M\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $|x| > K$ then $f(x) = g(x) = 0$. Thus, $\text{supp}(f - g), \text{supp}(fg) \subseteq [-K, K]$, proving that both the difference and product of elements of S is in S . Thus, S is a subring of R . \square

- (ii) Prove that S does not have an identity, but nonetheless $S^2 = S$.

Proof. Careful; it is not enough to show that the unity of R does not lie in S , since we know that a subring could have a unity different from the unity of R .

So, first, let us prove that S does not have a unity. To that end, we show that if $f \in S$ and $f \neq 0$, then there exists $g \in S$ such that $g \neq 0$ but $fg = 0$. This will show that f cannot be a unity of S .

Let $f \in S$, $f \neq 0$. There exists N such that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [-N, N]$.

Now let g be the function given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq N + 1 \\ x - (N + 1) & \text{if } N + 1 \leq x \leq N + 2 \\ N + 3 - x & \text{if } N + 2 \leq x \leq N + 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq N + 3. \end{cases}$$

Then $g \neq 0$, but $fg = 0$ since $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, let $h \in S$ be given by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq -(N + 1) \\ x + N + 1 & \text{if } -(N + 1) \leq x \leq -N \\ 1 & \text{if } -N \leq x \leq N \\ N + 1 - x & \text{if } N \leq x \leq N + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } N + 1 \leq x. \end{cases}$$

Then $h(x) = 1$ for all $x \in [-N, N]$, so $hf = f$. In particular, since $hf \in S^2$, it follows that $f \in S^2$.

Since f was nonzero and arbitrary, we have that $S \subseteq S^2$, and hence that $S^2 = S$ even though S does not have a unity. \square

- (iii) Prove that S is not an ideal of R .

Proof. The problem here is not the compact support, but the continuity. For example, let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x| & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This function lies in S . Now let $g(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 0$ and $g(x) = -1$ if $x < 0$; this function lies in R . Then

$$(fg)(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < -1, \\ -1 - x & \text{if } -1 \leq x < 0 \\ 1 - x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 < x. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $(fg)(0) = 1$, but as we approach 0 from the left the limit equals -1 ; that is, fg is not continuous at 0, and so is not an element of S . \square