

# FUNCTION SPECTRA AND CONTINUOUS $G$ -SPECTRA

DANIEL G. DAVIS

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a profinite group,  $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$  a cofiltered diagram of discrete  $G$ -spectra, and  $Z$  a spectrum with trivial  $G$ -action. We show how to define the homotopy fixed point spectrum  $F(Z, \text{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)^{hG}$  and that when  $G$  has finite virtual cohomological dimension ( $vcd$ ), it is equivalent to  $F(Z, \text{holim}_\alpha (X_\alpha)^{hG})$ . With these tools, we show that the  $K(n)$ -local Spanier-Whitehead dual is always a homotopy fixed point spectrum, a well-known Adams-type spectral sequence is actually a descent spectral sequence, and, for a sufficiently nice  $k$ -local profinite  $G$ -Galois extension  $E$ , with  $K \triangleleft G$  and closed, the equivalence  $(E^{h_k K})^{h_k G/K} \simeq E^{h_k G}$  (due to Behrens and the author), where  $(-)^{h_k(-)}$  denotes  $k$ -local homotopy fixed points, can be upgraded to an equivalence that just uses ordinary (*non-local*) homotopy fixed points, when  $G/K$  has finite  $vcd$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, all of our spectra are symmetric spectra of simplicial sets and we use  $G$  to denote a profinite group. Also, as in [1, Section 2.3], we let  $\Sigma\text{Sp}_G$  be the category of discrete  $G$ -spectra. Thus, if  $X \in \Sigma\text{Sp}_G$ , then, in particular,  $X$  is a symmetric spectrum with a  $G$ -action and the symmetric sequence  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of simplicial  $G$ -sets has the property that, for each  $j \geq 0$ , the action map on  $j$ -simplices,

$$G \times (X_i)_j \rightarrow (X_i)_j,$$

is continuous, when the set  $(X_i)_j$  is regarded as a discrete space.

As in [1, Section 4], let

$$\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$$

be a cofiltered diagram in  $\Sigma\text{Sp}_G$ ; thus,  $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$  is a pro-discrete  $G$ -spectrum. Following [1, Section 4], we refer to the diagram  $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$  as a *continuous  $G$ -spectrum* and the  *$G$ -homotopy fixed point spectrum* of the spectrum  $\text{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (\text{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)^{hG} = \text{holim}_\alpha (X_\alpha)^{hG}.$$

Now let  $Z$  be any spectrum with trivial  $G$ -action and let  $F(Z, \text{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)$  be the function spectrum. We can write the spectrum  $Z$  as

$$(1.2) \quad Z \simeq \text{hocolim}_\beta Z_\beta,$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P42, 55P91, 55T15.

The author was partially supported by a grant (# LEQSF(2008-11)-RD-A-27) from the Louisiana Board of Regents.

a homotopy colimit of a directed system of finite spectra  $Z_\beta$ , and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} F(Z, \operatorname{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha) &\simeq \operatorname{holim}_\beta F(Z_\beta, \operatorname{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\alpha, \beta} (X_\alpha \wedge DZ_\beta), \end{aligned}$$

where  $DZ_\beta$  is the Spanier-Whitehead dual of  $Z_\beta$ . We regard each  $DZ_\beta$  as having trivial  $G$ -action, so that each  $X_\alpha \wedge DZ_\beta$ , with the diagonal  $G$ -action, is a discrete  $G$ -spectrum. Thus, the diagram  $\{X_\alpha \wedge DZ_\beta\}_{\alpha, \beta}$  is a continuous  $G$ -spectrum and hence, it is natural to make the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** If  $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$  is a continuous  $G$ -spectrum and  $Z$  is a spectrum with trivial  $G$ -action, then we define

$$\begin{aligned} F(Z, \operatorname{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)^{hG} &= (\operatorname{holim}_{\alpha, \beta} (X_\alpha \wedge DZ_\beta))^{hG} \\ &= \operatorname{holim}_{\alpha, \beta} (X_\alpha \wedge DZ_\beta)^{hG}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows immediately from Definition (1.1).

Now suppose that  $G$  has *finite vcd* (that is, “finite virtual cohomological dimension”): this assumption means exactly that there is a natural number  $m$  and an open subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $H_c^s(U; M) = 0$ , whenever  $s > m$ , for all discrete  $U$ -modules  $M$ . Here,  $H_c^s(U; M)$  is the continuous cohomology of the profinite group  $U$ , with coefficients in  $M$ .

The above assumption of finite vcd, combined with [3, Remark 7.16] and the fact that each  $DZ_\beta$  is a finite spectrum, justifies the first equivalence in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{holim}_{\alpha, \beta} (X_\alpha \wedge DZ_\beta)^{hG} &\simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\alpha, \beta} ((X_\alpha)^{hG} \wedge DZ_\beta) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{holim}_\alpha F(Z, (X_\alpha)^{hG}) \\ &\simeq F(Z, (\operatorname{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)^{hG}). \end{aligned}$$

The above string of equivalences and the discussion that precedes it prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *If the profinite group  $G$  has finite vcd,  $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$  is a continuous  $G$ -spectrum, and  $Z$  is any spectrum with trivial  $G$ -action, then*

$$F(Z, \operatorname{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)^{hG} \simeq F(Z, (\operatorname{holim}_\alpha X_\alpha)^{hG}).$$

In the case when  $G$  is finite, Theorem 1.4 is well-known. Also, there is a quick and interesting application of this result to chromatic homotopy theory. To see this, we need to pause to introduce the main actors, along with some notation.

Let  $n \geq 1$ , let  $p$  be a fixed prime, and let  $E_n$  be the Lubin-Tate spectrum with

$$\pi_*(E_n) = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})\llbracket u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \rrbracket[u^{\pm 1}],$$

where  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is the ring of Witt vectors of the field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , each  $u_i$  has degree zero, and the degree of  $u$  is  $-2$ . Also, set

$$G_n = S_n \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p),$$

the extended Morava stabilizer group;  $G_n$  is a profinite group of finite vcd. Finally, let  $K(n)$  be the  $n$ th Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum,  $L_{K(n)}(S^0)$  the  $K(n)$ -local sphere, and

$$M_0 \leftarrow M_1 \leftarrow M_2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow M_i \leftarrow \dots$$

a tower of generalized Moore spectra, each of which is finite, such that there is an equivalence  $L_{K(n)}(S^0) \simeq \text{holim}_i L_{E(n)}(M_i)$ , where  $E(n)$  is the Johnson-Wilson spectrum (see [10, Section 2]).

We recall that in [7], for any closed subgroup  $K$  of  $G_n$ , Devinatz and Hopkins construct a commutative  $S$ -algebra  $E_n^{dhK}$  that behaves like a  $K$ -homotopy fixed point spectrum. (We note that instead of the notation  $E_n^{dhK}$ , [7] uses “ $E_n^{hK}$ .” However, we reserve the notation  $E_n^{hK}$  for the homotopy fixed point spectrum of  $E_n$  that is formed with respect to the continuous action of  $K$  on  $E_n$  (as in [3, Definition 9.2]).) Following [3], we use this construction of Devinatz and Hopkins to define

$$F_n = \text{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o G_n} E_n^{dhN},$$

where the colimit is over all open normal subgroups of  $G_n$ ; since each  $E_n^{dhN}$  is a  $(G_n/N)$ -spectrum,  $F_n$  is a discrete  $G_n$ -spectrum and  $\{F_n \wedge M_i\}_i$  is a continuous  $G_n$ -spectrum. Then there is the equivalence

$$E_n^{hG_n} = \left( \text{holim}_i (F_n \wedge M_i) \right)^{hG_n} \simeq L_{K(n)}(S^0),$$

thanks to [7, Theorem 1, (iii)] and [1, Theorem 8.2.1].

Now we are ready to return to Theorem 1.4: if  $G = G_n$ ,  $\{X_\alpha\}_\alpha$  is set equal to  $\{F_n \wedge M_i\}_i$ , and  $Z = E_n \simeq \text{holim}_i (F_n \wedge M_i)$ , then this result – together with Definition 1.3 – makes precise and justifies the assertion

$$F(E_n, L_{K(n)}(S^0)) \simeq F(E_n, E_n)^{hG_n},$$

which occurs in [11, end of Section 8.1]. More generally, if  $Z$  is any spectrum with trivial  $G_n$ -action, there is the equivalence

$$F(Z, L_{K(n)}(S^0)) \simeq F(Z, E_n)^{hG_n},$$

where the left-hand side in this equivalence,

$$F(Z, L_{K(n)}(S^0)) \simeq F(L_{K(n)}(Z), L_{K(n)}(S^0)),$$

is equivalent to the  $K(n)$ -local Spanier-Whitehead dual of the  $K(n)$ -local spectrum  $L_{K(n)}(Z)$ . Thus, the functional dual in the  $K(n)$ -local category is given by  $G_n$ -homotopy fixed points.

**Remark 1.5.** As shown in [5, Section 1; Corollary 5.3], there is an equivalence  $(F_n)^{hG_n} \simeq L_{K(n)}(S^0)$ , and hence, for  $Z$  an arbitrary spectrum with trivial  $G_n$ -action,

$$F(L_{K(n)}(Z), L_{K(n)}(S^0)) \simeq F(Z, (F_n)^{hG_n}) \simeq F(Z, F_n)^{hG_n}.$$

Thus, we can conclude that the functional dual of  $L_{K(n)}(Z)$  in the  $K(n)$ -local category is also given by the  $G_n$ -homotopy fixed points of the function spectrum  $F(Z, F_n)$ , which, curiously, is not necessarily  $K(n)$ -local (for example, when  $Z = S^0$ ,  $F(Z, F_n) \cong F_n$  is not  $K(n)$ -local, by [3, Lemma 6.7]).

In addition to the above conclusions, Theorem 1.4 is also useful for further developing the theory of homotopy fixed points in at least two other ways: it plays a role in obtaining Theorem 2.5, which is a result about iterated homotopy fixed points for a certain type of profinite Galois extension; and, for  $K$  any closed subgroup of  $G_n$  and  $Z$  any spectrum, we show in Theorem 3.4 that the strongly convergent Adams-type spectral sequence with abutment  $(E_n^{dhK})^*(Z)$ , constructed by Devinatz and Hopkins in [7], is actually a descent spectral sequence for the

homotopy fixed point spectrum  $F(Z, E_n)^{hK}$ . To keep this Introduction from being unnecessarily redundant, we defer a fuller exposition of these two applications to Sections 2 and 3.

**Acknowledgements.** I thank Mark Behrens for discussions during our collaboration on [1] that were useful for the writing of this paper.

## 2. ITERATED HOMOTOPY FIXED POINTS FOR PROFINITE GALOIS EXTENSIONS

Our first extended application of the tools developed in the Introduction is to the theory of profinite Galois extensions; for background on these extensions, we refer the reader to [1] and [11].

We begin by establishing some notation. As in [1], suppose that  $L_k(-)$ , Bousfield localization with respect to the spectrum  $k$ , satisfies the equivalence

$$L_k(-) \simeq L_M(L_T(-)),$$

where  $M$  is a finite spectrum and  $T$  is smashing. Also, suppose that  $A$  is a cofibrant commutative symmetric ring spectrum that is  $k$ -local. Finally, given a profinite group  $H$ , let  $(-)^{h_k H}$  denote the right derived functor of the fixed points  $(-)^H$ , with respect to the  $k$ -local model structure on discrete  $H$ -spectra (see [1, Section 6.1]): given a discrete  $H$ -spectrum  $Y$ ,

$$Y^{h_k H} = (Y_{f_k H})^H,$$

where  $Y \rightarrow Y_{f_k H}$  is a natural trivial cofibration, with  $Y_{f_k H}$  fibrant, in the  $k$ -local model structure on discrete  $H$ -spectra (henceforth, we will say that  $Y_{f_k H}$  is a “ $k$ -locally fibrant discrete  $H$ -spectrum”).

As in [1, Section 7], we let  $E$  be a consistent profaithful  $k$ -local profinite  $G$ -Galois extension of  $A$  of finite vcd and we recall that by [1, Proposition 6.2.3],  $E$  is a discrete  $G$ -spectrum. Also, we let  $K$  be any closed normal subgroup of  $G$ . By [1, Proposition 7.1.4],  $(E_{f_k G})^K$  is  $k$ -locally fibrant as a discrete  $(G/K)$ -spectrum, and hence, since the  $(G/K)$ -equivariant map

$$\lambda: (E_{f_k G})^K \xrightarrow{\simeq_k} ((E_{f_k G})^K)_{f_k G/K}$$

is a  $k$ -local equivalence between  $k$ -locally fibrant discrete  $(G/K)$ -spectra, the induced map  $\lambda^{G/K}$ , which has the form

$$E^{h_k G} = (E_{f_k G})^G = ((E_{f_k G})^K)^{G/K} \xrightarrow[\lambda^{G/K}]{\simeq_k} (((E_{f_k G})^K)_{f_k G/K})^{G/K} = ((E_{f_k G})^K)^{h_k G/K},$$

is a  $k$ -local equivalence. By [1, Proposition 6.1.7, (1)], the source  $E^{h_k G}$  and target  $((E_{f_k G})^K)^{h_k G/K}$  of  $\lambda^{G/K}$  are  $k$ -local spectra. Therefore,

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda^{G/K}: E^{h_k G} \xrightarrow{\simeq} ((E_{f_k G})^K)^{h_k G/K}$$

is a weak equivalence of spectra.

Notice that there is a zigzag of  $k$ -local equivalences

$$(2.2) \quad E_{f_k G} \xrightarrow{\simeq_k} (E_{f_k G})_{f_k K} \xleftarrow{\simeq_k} E_{f_k K}$$

of discrete  $K$ -spectra. Then taking the  $K$ -fixed points of zigzag (2.2) gives the zigzag

$$(2.3) \quad (E_{f_k G})^K \xrightarrow{\simeq_k} ((E_{f_k G})_{f_k K})^K \xleftarrow{\simeq} (E_{f_k K})^K = E^{h_k K},$$

where the second map is a weak equivalence of spectra, since it is the  $K$ -fixed points of a  $k$ -local equivalence between  $k$ -locally fibrant discrete  $K$ -spectra, and the first map is a  $k$ -local equivalence, due to the combination of the last conclusion (about the second map) and the end of [1, proof of Theorem 7.1.6].

In the  $k$ -local model structure on discrete  $(G/K)$ -spectra, the weak equivalences are those morphisms that are  $k$ -local equivalences, and hence, though  $((E_{f_k G})_{f_k K})^K$  and  $(E_{f_k K})^K$  do not necessarily carry (pertinent, nontrivial)  $(G/K)$ -actions, zigzag (2.3) makes it reasonable – in the  $k$ -local setting – to identify the discrete  $(G/K)$ -spectrum  $(E_{f_k G})^K$  with  $E^{h_k K}$ , so that equivalence (2.1) can be interpreted as the equivalence

$$(2.4) \quad (E^{h_k K})^{h_k G/K} \simeq E^{h_k G}.$$

Equivalence (2.4) says that given a sufficiently nice profinite Galois extension  $E$ , the iterated  $k$ -local homotopy fixed point spectrum  $(E^{h_k K})^{h_k G/K}$  can be formed, and it behaves in a natural way, in that it is equivalent to  $E^{h_k G}$  and thereby mimics the fixed point identity

$$(E^K)^{G/K} = E^G.$$

Though equivalence (2.4) is a step forward in the theory of profinite Galois extensions, we would like to have such a result about iterated homotopy fixed points that *avoids* the  $k$ -local setting that is used in (2.4). This is not an easy thing to achieve: as explained in detail in [4, Sections 1, 3, and 4] and [1, Section 3.6], there are subtleties with (non-local) iterated homotopy fixed points that, in general, make even forming the iterated homotopy fixed point spectrum a difficult task. However, with  $E$  as above, we are able to show that  $L_M(E)$  is the homotopy limit of a continuous  $G$ -spectrum, so that one can form  $(L_M(E))^{hK}$ , and this last spectrum is the homotopy limit of a continuous  $(G/K)$ -spectrum, so that one can form the iterated homotopy fixed point spectrum  $((L_M(E))^{hK})^{hG/K}$ . Additionally, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $E$  be a consistent profaithful  $k$ -local profinite  $G$ -Galois extension of  $A$  of finite vcd. If  $K$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/K$  has finite vcd, then there is an equivalence*

$$((L_M(E))^{hK})^{hG/K} \simeq (L_M(E))^{hG}.$$

The above theorem shows that, as desired, a sufficiently nice profinite Galois extension does indeed satisfy a non-local version of equivalence (2.4), when the quotient group  $G/K$  has finite vcd. The proof of Theorem 2.5 and the justification for the two conclusions that immediately precede it are placed at the end of this paper, in Section 4.

**Remark 2.6.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5, there is an equivalence

$$(L_M(E))^{hK} \simeq E^{h_k K},$$

by (4.2) and [1, Proposition 6.1.7, (3)], and the same argument shows that

$$(L_M(E))^{hG} \simeq E^{h_k G}.$$

Thus, the equivalence of Theorem 2.5 is exactly the equivalence of (2.4) above, but presented without using  $k$ -local homotopy fixed points.

In the following two examples, we describe some situations in which the cohomological conditions on  $G$  and  $G/K$  in Theorem 2.5 are satisfied.

**Example 2.7.** If a profinite group  $H$  is compact  $p$ -adic analytic, then it has finite vcd (an explanation is written out just after Lemma 2.9 in [3]) and any quotient group  $H/L$ , where  $L$  is a closed normal subgroup, is again a compact  $p$ -adic analytic group, by [8, Theorem 9.6]. Thus, if  $G$  is compact  $p$ -adic analytic, then it and the quotient  $G/K$  automatically have finite vcd.

**Example 2.8.** Suppose that  $G$  is a pro- $p$  group of finite cohomological dimension. If  $K$  is nontrivial, topologically finitely generated, and free as a pro- $p$  group, then  $G/K$  has finite vcd, by [9, Theorem 0.2]. If  $K$  is analytic pro- $p$  of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , then  $G/K$  again has finite vcd, by [9, Theorem 0.7]. There are more such results in [9].

### 3. A COMPARISON OF SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

In this section, we give another application of Theorem 1.4. We will be referring to  $E_n$ ,  $G_n$ , the tower  $\{M_i\}_i$ , and  $F_n$ , as defined in the Introduction. We note that given a spectrum  $X$ , we always use  $\pi_*(X)$  to refer to the graded abelian group of maps in the stable homotopy category from sphere spectra to  $X$ .

Now, let  $K$  be any closed subgroup of  $G_n$ . In the Introduction, we mentioned that the commutative  $S$ -algebra  $E_n^{dhK}$  behaves like a  $K$ -homotopy fixed point spectrum. As an example of this behavior, by [7, Theorem 2, (ii)], for any spectrum  $Z$  with trivial  $K$ -action, where  $Z \simeq \text{hocolim}_\beta Z_\beta$  (as in equivalence (1.2); recall that each  $Z_\beta$  is a finite spectrum), there is a strongly convergent  $K(n)_*$ -local  $E_n$ -Adams spectral sequence

$$(3.1) \quad H_c^s(K; (E_n)^{-t}(Z)) \Rightarrow (E_n^{dhK})^{-t+s}(Z),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad H_c^s(K; (E_n)^{-t}(Z)) = \lim_{\beta, i} H_c^s(K; \pi_t(E_n \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta)),$$

with  $K$  acting trivially on each  $M_i$  and  $DZ_\beta$ . We note that, since  $G_n$  is a compact  $p$ -adic analytic group, it follows that  $K$  is also, by [8, Theorem 9.6], and hence, since each abelian group  $\pi_t(E_n \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta)$  is a finite discrete  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[K]]$ -module,  $H_c^s(K; \pi_t(E_n \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta))$  is a finite abelian group, by [12, Proposition 4.2.2].

**Remark 3.3.** To avoid any confusion, we point out that in (3.2) above, we are using the presentation of  $H_c^s(K; (E_n)^{-t}(Z))$  as an inverse limit that comes from the discussion between Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 in [6] and this same paper's Proposition 3.6, instead of the presentation that is given by [7, Remark 1.3]. These two (closely related) presentations are isomorphic, but the former is more suitable for our purposes.

By [7] (see [3, Theorem 6.3, Corollary 6.5] for an explicit proof), there is an equivalence

$$E_n \wedge M_i \simeq F_n \wedge M_i,$$

for each  $i$ . Thus,

$$(E_n)^{-t}(Z) \cong \pi_t(F(Z, \text{holim}_i (F_n \wedge M_i))),$$

where  $\{F_n \wedge M_i\}_i$  is a continuous  $K$ -spectrum, and, since

$$E_n^{dhK} \simeq E_n^{hK},$$

by [1, Theorem 8.2.1], where

$$E_n^{hK} = (\operatorname{holim}_i (F_n \wedge M_i))^{hK},$$

we have

$$(E_n^{dhK})^{-t+s}(Z) \cong \pi_{t-s}(F(Z, E_n^{hK})) \cong \pi_{t-s}(F(Z, E_n)^{hK}),$$

where  $F(Z, E_n)^{hK}$  is defined as in Definition 1.3 and the last isomorphism above is due to Theorem 1.4 and the fact that  $K$  has finite vcd (since  $G_n$  has finite vcd). The observations in the preceding sentence suggest that spectral sequence (3.1) ought to be isomorphic to a descent spectral sequence that has the form

$$H_c^s(K; \pi_t(F(Z, E_n))) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(F(Z, E_n)^{hK});$$

the following theorem shows that this suggestion is, in fact, correct.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $K$  be a closed subgroup of  $G_n$  and let  $Z$  be a spectrum with trivial  $K$ -action. Then the strongly convergent Adams-type spectral sequence*

$$(3.5) \quad H_c^s(K; (E_n)^{-t}(Z)) \Rightarrow (E_n^{dhK})^{-t+s}(Z)$$

*is isomorphic to the descent spectral sequence*

$$(3.6) \quad H_c^s(K; \pi_t(F(Z, E_n))) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(F(Z, E_n)^{hK}),$$

*from the  $E_2$ -term onward.*

*Proof.* Our first step is to show that the descent spectral sequence exists. Given a profinite group  $H$  and a discrete abelian group  $A$ , we let  $\operatorname{Map}^c(H, A)$  denote the abelian group of continuous functions  $H \rightarrow A$ . Then

$$\lim_{\beta, i}^s \operatorname{Map}^c(K^m, \pi_q(F_n \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta)) = 0,$$

for all  $s > 0$ , all  $m \geq 0$ , and all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  (this follows from [7, Lemma 4.21, (i)], since the “ $G_n$ ” that is in [7, Lemma 4.21, (i) and its proof] can be changed to any profinite group, without affecting the validity of the argument), and therefore, by [1, Section 4.6], there is a homotopy spectral sequence that has the form

$$H_{\text{cts}}^s(K; \pi_t(F(Z, E_n))) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(F(Z, E_n)^{hK}),$$

where  $H_{\text{cts}}^s(K; \pi_t(F(Z, E_n)))$  denotes the continuous cohomology of continuous cochains, with coefficients in the profinite  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[K]]$ -module  $\pi_t(F(Z, E_n))$ . By [7, Remark 1.3], there is an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{cts}}^s(K; \pi_t(F(Z, E_n))) \cong H_c^s(K; \pi_t(F(Z, E_n))),$$

so that the above homotopy spectral sequence is the desired descent spectral sequence.

Spectral sequence (3.5) is the inverse limit  $\lim_{\beta, i} {}^A E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i)$  of  $K(n)_*$ -local  $E_n$ -Adams spectral sequences  ${}^A E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i)$  that have the form

$${}^A E_2^{s,t}(\beta, i) = H_c^s(K; \pi_t(E_n \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{dhK} \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta).$$

Similarly, spectral sequence (3.6) is the inverse limit  $\lim_{\beta, i} {}^D E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i)$  of descent spectral sequences  ${}^D E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i)$  that have the form

$${}^D E_2^{s,t}(\beta, i) = H_c^s(K; \pi_t(F_n \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{hK} \wedge M_i \wedge DZ_\beta),$$

where the abutment of spectral sequence  $\lim_{\beta, i} \mathcal{D}E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i)$  is identified by using the equivalence  $F(Z, E_n^{hK}) \simeq F(Z, E_n)^{hK}$ . By [1, proof of Theorem 8.2.5], for each  $\beta$  and  $i$ , there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i) \cong \mathcal{D}E_r^{*,*}(\beta, i)$$

of spectral sequences from the  $E_2$ -terms onward, completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

In this section, we use the notation that was established in Section 2.

Since  $M$  is a finite spectrum, [2, Proposition 3.6] implies that, for any spectrum  $Y$ ,

$$L_M(Y) \simeq F({}^M S^0, Y),$$

where  ${}^M S^0 \rightarrow S^0$  denotes the  $[M, -]_*$ -colocalization of the sphere spectrum  $S^0$ . Then, as in the Introduction, by writing

$${}^M S^0 \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_{\beta} W_{\beta},$$

where the right-hand side is a homotopy colimit of a directed system  $\{W_{\beta}\}_{\beta}$  of finite spectra, we obtain that

$$L_M(Y) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\beta} (Y \wedge DW_{\beta}).$$

Now let  $G$  be any profinite group (we are not assuming that  $G$  has finite vcd), let  $X$  be a discrete  $G$ -spectrum, and give  ${}^M S^0$  and each  $DW_{\beta}$  trivial  $G$ -action. Then  $\{X \wedge DW_{\beta}\}_{\beta}$  is a continuous  $G$ -spectrum and

$$L_M(X) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\beta} (X \wedge DW_{\beta}).$$

These conclusions motivate the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** If  $G$  is any profinite group and  $X$  is a discrete  $G$ -spectrum, then it is natural to identify  $L_M(X)$  with  $F({}^M S^0, X)$ , and hence, we define

$$(L_M(X))^{hG} = F({}^M S^0, X)^{hG},$$

so that, by Definition 1.3,

$$(L_M(X))^{hG} = \operatorname{holim}_{\beta} (X \wedge DW_{\beta})^{hG}.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.5: we suppose that  $E$  is a consistent profaithful  $k$ -local profinite  $G$ -Galois extension of  $A$  that has finite vcd. Recall that  $E$  is a discrete  $G$ -spectrum, so that by Definition 4.1, for any closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (L_M(E))^{hH} &= F({}^M S^0, E)^{hH} \\ &= \operatorname{holim}_{\beta} (E \wedge DW_{\beta})^{hH}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  has finite vcd,  $K$  does too, and hence, Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$(L_M(E))^{hK} \simeq F({}^M S^0, E^{hK}) \simeq L_M(E^{hK}).$$



For the next step in our argument, we make a few recollections from [1, Sections 2.4, 3.2]. Given any profinite group  $P$ , let

$$\mathrm{Map}^c(P, E) = \mathrm{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o P} \mathrm{Map}(P/N, E) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{N \triangleleft_o P} \prod_{F/N} E.$$

Then  $\mathrm{Map}^c(K, -)$  is a coaugmented comonad on the category of spectra and we let  $\mathrm{Map}^c(K^\bullet, E)$  be the associated cosimplicial spectrum (obtained through the comonadic cobar construction), which, in codegree  $k$ , satisfies the isomorphism

$$(\mathrm{Map}^c(K^\bullet, E))^k \cong \mathrm{Map}^c(K^k, E).$$

By [1, Theorem 3.2.1],

$$E^{hK} \simeq \mathrm{holim}_{\Delta} \mathrm{Map}^c(K^\bullet, E).$$

By [1, Remark 6.2.2],  $E$  is  $T$ -local, and hence, by [1, Lemma 6.1.4, (3)], the cosimplicial spectrum  $\mathrm{Map}^c(K^\bullet, E)$  is  $T$ -local in each codegree. Thus, the homotopy limit  $\mathrm{holim}_{\Delta} \mathrm{Map}^c(K^\bullet, E)$  is  $T$ -local, implying that  $E^{hK}$  is also  $T$ -local, so that

$$(4.2) \quad (L_M(E))^{hK} \simeq L_M(E^{hK}) \simeq L_M(L_T(E^{hK})) \simeq L_k(E^{hK}).$$

By [1, Corollary 7.1.3], there is an equivalence

$$(4.3) \quad L_k(E^{hK}) \simeq L_k((E_{fG})^K),$$

where  $E \rightarrow E_{fG}$  is a trivial cofibration, with  $E_{fG}$  fibrant, in the model category  $\Sigma\mathrm{Sp}_G$ . Therefore, putting (4.2) and (4.3) together yields

$$(L_M(E))^{hK} \simeq L_k((E_{fG})^K).$$

Notice that

$$(E_{fG})^K \cong \mathrm{colim}_{K < U <_o G} (E_{fG})^U.$$

By the proof of [1, Proposition 3.3.1, (3)],  $(E_{fG})^U \simeq E^{hU}$ . The argument above that showed that  $E^{hK}$  is  $T$ -local also shows that each  $E^{hU}$ , and hence, each  $(E_{fG})^U$ , is  $T$ -local. Since  $T$  is smashing, the filtered colimit  $\mathrm{colim}_{K < U <_o G} (E_{fG})^U$  is  $T$ -local, implying that  $(E_{fG})^K$  is too. We conclude that

$$(4.4) \quad (L_M(E))^{hK} \simeq L_k((E_{fG})^K) \simeq L_M((E_{fG})^K)$$

and we note that  $(E_{fG})^K$  is a discrete  $(G/K)$ -spectrum. Therefore, thanks to (4.4), we identify  $(L_M(E))^{hK}$  with  $L_M((E_{fG})^K)$ , and hence,

$$((L_M(E))^{hK})^{hG/K} = (L_M((E_{fG})^K))^{hG/K}.$$

Thus, by Definition 4.1, we have

$$((L_M(E))^{hK})^{hG/K} = F(MS^0, (E_{fG})^K)^{hG/K}.$$

Now suppose that  $G/K$  has finite vcd. Then the proof of Theorem 2.5 is completed by the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} F(MS^0, (E_{fG})^K)^{hG/K} &\simeq F(MS^0, ((E_{fG})^K)^{hG/K}) \\ &\simeq F(MS^0, E^{hG}) \\ &\simeq F(MS^0, E)^{hG} \\ &= (L_M(E))^{hG}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equivalence is due to Theorem 1.4, the second equivalence follows from [1, Proposition 3.5.1], and the third equivalence comes from another application of Theorem 1.4.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mark Behrens and Daniel G. Davis. The homotopy fixed point spectra of profinite Galois extensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(9):4983–5042, 2010.
- [2] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spectra with respect to homology. *Topology*, 18(4):257–281, 1979.
- [3] Daniel G. Davis. Homotopy fixed points for  $L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge X)$  using the continuous action. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 206(3):322–354, 2006.
- [4] Daniel G. Davis. Iterated homotopy fixed points for the Lubin-Tate spectrum (with an appendix by D.G. Davis and B. Wieland). *Topology Appl.*, 156(17):2881–2898, 2009.
- [5] Daniel G. Davis. Obtaining intermediate rings of a local profinite Galois extension without localization. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 5(1):253–268, 2010.
- [6] Ethan S. Devinatz. A Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for certain homotopy fixed point spectra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357(1):129–150 (electronic), 2005.
- [7] Ethan S. Devinatz and Michael J. Hopkins. Homotopy fixed point spectra for closed subgroups of the Morava stabilizer groups. *Topology*, 43(1):1–47, 2004.
- [8] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal. *Analytic pro- $p$  groups*, volume 61 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1999.
- [9] A. Engler, D. Haran, D. Kochloukova, and P. A. Zalesskii. Normal subgroups of profinite groups of finite cohomological dimension. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 69(2):317–332, 2004.
- [10] Mark Hovey. Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins’ chromatic splitting conjecture. In *The Čech Centennial (Boston, MA, 1993)*, pages 225–250. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [11] John Rognes. Galois extensions of structured ring spectra. In *Galois extensions of structured ring spectra/Stably dualizable groups*, in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 192 (898), pages 1–97, 2008.
- [12] Peter Symonds and Thomas Weigel. Cohomology of  $p$ -adic analytic groups. In *New horizons in pro- $p$  groups*, pages 349–410. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE, LAFAYETTE, LA 70504, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* `dgdavis@louisiana.edu`